20.Jun.94	WRE/BVI, OSHANA.P	Or much	daven
		lat HHM	we'l Wito
OSHA's Analysis of I	≣TS	Olme Den 1 Beneshunge en glade 109	davon we'l 1/10 coll 1/10 collected laten volete! HHM die war mit!
P. 3: 'dose limits' that	would eliminate significant ris	in horritare to	on mit
What is the industry's	position to determine ETS ex		
P. 4: The data from 13	other available studies		
These studies should i	pe cited!		
P. 6: Many have never	r been tested in an inhalation	system.	
No relevant critic.			
P. 8: (Epidemiologid 2-naphtylamine)			PS:
No relevant information.			Begründung.
P. 8: Any human lung carcinogenes among "list of 43" assured to 7 ", law and			" law and
Variable amount			variable amounts
No comparison to SS possible (no undiluted SS!) in existence appropriate by			"Developing an
P. 9-10: Concentrations of ETS air monitors.			Contito associated
Detection limits have no toxical relevance.			PAHs is complicated
P. 10: Studies based	situation.		
garbled?	≪ ./		
	tration and PELs, TLFs, wou	ıld be helpful	
P. 13: Where OSHA to	apply level.	L	Kored 7
ETS as complex mixture	e can have a toxicity and a F	'EL independent of ا	ts components.
Table II: What are typica Are dimethylhydracine	al ETS concentrations?	d:4 ETO0	Autobaco (Wirks) I'd
Table III: I zinc stearate	in ETS?	constituents of	mit anderen stoff in unberückt sichhigt
P. 14: 100 to 1000 times			eassen?
Proof? can il i	le prove !		2029116801